

CORRIGENDA

In the *Haryana Government Gazette*, dated January 4, 1972, Part I, Page 26, declaration No. 6966/Project, 1099/70, dated the 16th December, 1971, fifth line.—equired,

- (i) Please read the word 'urgently' after the word and on page 27, below Loharu Canal Division No. 1, Dadri, last word of third line,
- (ii) Please read the word as 'Mahendragarh' instead of 'Naraingarh', and on page 28, opposite rectangle No. 54,
- (iii) Please read the figure as '23' instead of '28' below col. Part Field Nos.

CORRIGENDA

In the *Haryana Government Gazette*, dated November 9, 1971, Part I, Page 1384, in declaration No. 630/Projects, dated the 3rd November, 1971, below specification, under column 'village'—

- (i) Dabra, at end of 2nd line please read 18-23-24 for 18-2-24.
- (ii) Kaimri, 2nd line, please read 137/1-2/1-10-11 for 137/1-2/1-1-11.
- (iii) Maingli Jhara, 4th line, please read 31/25/3 for 31/2-5/3.
- (iv) also in the same column in fifth line, please read 59/1/3-2-10/1 for 59/1/8-2-10/1.

LABOUR DEPARTMENT

The 20th July, 1972

No. 7987-4Lab-72/30858.—In pursuance of the provisions of Section 17 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 (Act No. XIV of 1947), the Governor of Haryana is pleased to publish the following award of the Presiding Officer, Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak in respect of the dispute between the workmen and the management of M/s Hissar Textile Mills, Hissar.

BEFORE SHRI P. N. THUKRAL, PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, HARYANA, ROHTAK

Reference No. 3 of 1972

between

SHRI RAMESH CHAND, AND THE MANAGEMENT OF M/S HISSAR TEXTILE MILLS, HISSAR

Present :

Shri Ramesh Chand, applicant in person.

Shri O.P. Sharma, for the management.

AWARD

The Governor of Haryana in exercise of the powers conferred by clause (c) of sub-section (8) of section 10 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 was pleased to refer the following dispute to this court for adjudication,—vide Haryana Government Gazette Notification No. ID/HSR/29-C-71/152, dated 4th January, 1972.

"Whether the termination of services of Shri Ramesh Chand was justified and in order? If not, [to what relief is he entitled?]"

It is not necessary to decide the case on merits because a compromise has been arrived at between the parties. The workman has settled his dispute with the management and has received his dues. He has given up his claim for reinstatement. As per terms of compromise the applicant is not entitled to any further relief. I give my award accordingly. No order as to cost.

P. N. THUKRAL,

Dated 14th July, 1972.

Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.

No. 1269, dated Rohtak, the 14th July, 1972

Forwarded in quadruplicate to the Secretary to Government, Haryana, Labour & Employment Departments, Chandigarh as required under Section 15 of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947.

P. N. THUKRAL,
Presiding Officer,
Labour Court, Haryana, Rohtak.